A WEEKLY COMMENTARY











The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance —

Vol. 51. No. 48	4 th December, 2015
IN THIS ISSUE	
A Pattern is Emerging	1
The Real Objectives of Paris	2
Immigration and the Decline of the West by Peter West	3
Same Sex Marriage: When Did Dissent Become Discrimination?	4
Remembering Rhodesia – 50 Years On	5
A Message from the National Director – Ken Grundy	6
Massive GISS Tampering Uncovered	8

Thought for the Week: The Fragmentation of This Civilisation - Heredity: One of the curious features of these curious times is the constant repetition of statements such as "all men are born equal," by which it is intended to convey the idea that race and heredity as mere superstitions - or "Fascism."

This phantasm does not, of course, apply to animals - the buyer of the pedigree bull in Scotland, recently, for about £14,000 would not have agreed to take delivery of the same weight in beef-on-the-hoof from any of half a dozen dairy herds within a few miles of Perth, where the aristocrat was sold.

The only argument ever adducted in regard to human beings in this relation, which bears a superficial veneer of plausibility, is that marriage is purely haphazard, whereas cattle breeding is not. The premise, of course, is that all the subtle forces, which, more particularly up to the nineteenth century, influenced human selection, are recognised and understood. Only a generation bemused with Darwin on a postcard, neatly mixed with London School of Economics materialism, would have the assurance to believe that.

- The Social Crediter, July 20, 1946

NEWCASTLE HERALD REPORTER: A PATTERN IS EMERGING

Ian Kirkwood, reporter for the *Newcastle Herald* (20 November 2015), has sounded a word of warning about the pattern emerging of the long-term aims of the Chinese communists in Australia. He writes:

In our editorial leader on Friday, titled "Cash and national security", the *Newcastle Herald* canvassed the concerns raised by United States President Barack Obama over the 99-year lease of the Port of Darwin to a Chinese company with alleged links to that nation's military.

As the Herald remarked, "few such concerns were raised when the NSW government sold a 99-year lease of the Port of Newcastle to a consortium that included a major Chinese-government-owned partner".

From where I sit, foreign ownership of Australian assets has long been a hot topic of discussion. But old fears often fade. The Hunter coal industry, with its long history of Japanese, Korean and Taiwanese investment, is a case in point. But to me, China has always been a different scenario. While it has a growing private sector, most industry is still owned by the government of a totalitarian nation, and the private operators still have close ties to the government in an extremely opaque economy.

In 2008, a company called China Shenhua shocked the coal industry when it offered the NSW government \$600 million to build the Watermark coal mine on the Liverpool Plains. Watermark was finally approved in January this year.

Some years ago, I heard from a number of sources that China Shenhua was frustrated with the pace of negotiations over rail access, and wanted to build its own private railway between Gunnedah and Newcastle. One of the planning advantages when the state owns all, I suppose.

Foreign involvement in the Port of Newcastle began in April 2014 when China Merchants and the Westpac-backed Hastings Funds Management paid \$1.75 billion for a 98-year lease of the port.

China Merchants was described as having a 140-year-history, and a 20-year presence in Australia. The deal has been criticised, especially in relation to allegedly secret barriers to the privatised port competing with Botany Bay for containers. But the Chinese government stake in the port attracted little high-level attention. Until now. On October 14, the Northern Territory government announced that a Chinese company, Landbridge, had paid \$506 million for a 99-year lease on the Port of Darwin, on condition it sell 20 per cent of the business to an Australian partner within five years. (continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

Almost immediately, the Australian Strategic Policy Institute - a "non-partisan" think-tank specialising in defence issues - raised security concerns over the deal, in light of the build-up of US troops in Darwin as part of the Obama administration's "pivot" to Asia. China specialist Geoff Wade, a visiting fellow at the Australian National University, was one of the institute members to raise concerns, and I spoke with him briefly this week about the Chinese investment in the Port of Newcastle.

The China Merchants Group website shows it has shares listed on the Shanghai and Hong Kong stock exchanges, but Wade said "China Merchants is an unashamedly state-owned company, completely under the control of the party-state".

"A pattern is emerging of Chinese state-controlled and China-directed firms taking control of key assets across Australia," Wade said. "The aim, in my opinion, is to secure economic leverage and then strategic influence over Australia."

In the few conversations I have had with the new managers of the Port of Newcastle, they said that both Hastings and China Merchants are in the business to make money, to clip the ticket on visiting ships and to develop real estate around the harbour.

Wade said Chinese companies invariably claim that "all investments are purely commercial". If there are other motives being pursued, Wade said we will struggle to find them.

"All such planning is done deep within the party-state", and any global aspirations that China may have in buying land and businesses around the world are kept in the background.

Other experts may beg to differ with Wade, and he could, of course, be wrong. But he poses some interesting questions that we owe it to ourselves to explore.

Source:

http://www.theherald.com.au/story/3507720/ian-kirkwood-a-pattern-is-emerging

EVER FELT YOU WERE BEING MANIPULATED? by Betty Luks

I think that the Minister for Trade and Investment, Andrew Robb's frank admission should be mulled over by all thinking Australians. On the blocking of the Kidman cattle stations to Chinese buyers he is reported to have responded that it was "irrational" and saw "there's a lot of politics around it which we have to manage".

He sees himself as just the one who can do this: "I'm not immune to the job of managing community expectations and fears while we manage the investment flows....."

Australians concerned about the sell-off of Australia's infrastructure and land to foreigners are to be 'managed' (by PR spin?) while the government 'manages the investment flows' (the sell-off).

So, how do you like the frank admission you are being 'managed' while your country is being sold off under your very nose fellow Australian?

- Source: David Pascoe's Facebook page.

THE REAL OBJECTIVE OF PARIS

From Paul Homewood's website: Just a reminder of what Paris is really about.

From Investors Daily: http://news.investors.com/ibd-editorials/021015-738779-climate-change-scare-tool-to-destroy-capitalism.htm (2/10/2015)

Economic Systems: The alarmists keep telling us their concern about global warming is all about man's stewardship of the environment. But we know that's not true. A United Nations official has now confirmed this. At a news conference last week in Brussels, Christiana Figueres, executive secretary of U.N.'s Framework Convention on Climate Change, admitted that the goal of environmental activists is not to save the world from ecological calamity but to destroy capitalism.

"This is the first time in the history of mankind that we are setting ourselves the task of intentionally, within a defined period of time, to change the economic development model that has been reigning for at least 150 years, since the Industrial Revolution," she said.

Referring to a new international treaty environmentalists hope will be adopted at the Paris climate change conference later this year, she added: "This is probably the most difficult task we have ever given ourselves, which is to intentionally transform the economic development model for the first time in human history."

The only economic model in the last 150 years that has ever worked at all is capitalism. The evidence is prima facie: From a feudal order that lasted a thousand years, produced zero growth and kept workdays long and lifespans short, the countries that have embraced free-market capitalism have enjoyed a system in which output has increased 70-fold, work days have been halved and life spans doubled.

Figueres is perhaps the perfect person for the job of transforming "the economic development model" because she's really never seen it work. Cato economist Dan Mitchell notes: "If you look at Ms. Figueres' Wikipedia page, (they are-ed) making the world look at their right hand while they choke developed economies with their left".

Source: http://wp.me/p1R7BZ-4OB

DO YOU REALLY THINK THE LEOPARD HAS CHANGED ITS SPOTS?

Essential viewing in relation to the above article is the Eric D. Butler 1989 DVD "The Planned Surrender of Australia". Such matters are discussed from a historical/philosophical perspective. History has taught man there are fundamental truths about human associations that are just as absolute as the Law of Gravity - which we have ignored to our own peril.

Eric wrote in 1955: "A study of the basic theories of Marxism-Leninism reveals that the

Communists are primarily engaged, not in promoting orthodox military aggression, but in furthering an international conspiracy. This conspiracy is directed towards subverting all Western societies from within. And the conspirators are sustained by the firmly held belief that the capitalist societies must inevitably collapse internally, or, in attempting to avert collapse, they must introduce policies of centralised controls, which also help them to reach their objective..."

The New Times, 21 October 1955 ***

IMMIGRATION AND THE DECLINE OF THE WEST by Peter West

Immigration is part of the modern secular humanist religion of globalism. The Paris shootings - and for the sake of argument I refer to the conventional media narrative and not the conspiratorial alternative (the Paris 9/11 hypothesis, perhaps) - has shaken the new class. To see this, consider the tale of headlines from our national newspaper *The Australian*.

On the one hand, immigration and refugeeism must not be challenged: "Welcome Must be Extended" (to tens of thousands of boat people); "Church Fear Over Syria Refugees"; "No Cap on Syrians Fleeing Islamic State".

On the other hand there are varying degrees of recognition that this is a problem: "Ingrained Culture of Complaint"; "The Future of Western Civilisation is Under Threat, but Not Just from Extremists"; "Migration Crisis a Threat to Security" ("porous borders may have given entry to Islamic State

Terrorists"); "Leaders in Two Minds While Europe Burns" ("After Paris, we can no longer afford to promote the myth of multiculturalism"); "'Black, Blanc, Beur': Multi-Ethnic Dream Blown Away".

All this can be summed up, as I have argued for many years at this site, that multicultural/multi-ethnic societies don't work. This is poison for the Establishment because it means that the grand social experiment that has been conducted at least since the 1960s and arguably from the Enlightenment, of the "brotherhood of man/woman", is just nonsense.

Socio-cultural breakdown will lead to ultimate economic then political breakdown. And so I see it, the Dark Lords of Mordor, although hoping to see the end of the West and Northern Europeans, haven't fully figured all of the variables into their equations of destruction. Chaos can lead to non-linear unexpected developments.

MACHINATIONS TO ERASE CHRISTIAN CULTURES by Wally Klinck

(In response to a reader's comment)

I am afraid Ken, that this policy (mass immigration - ed) is not insane - not in the sense that it is being carried out without clearly and rationally defined policy goals pursued with relentless determination. Controlled Governments and politicians in general are the agencies by which it is being imposed - through conscious collusion, collaboration and/or ignorance.

General Wesley Clark revealed some years ago that he had been informed of a discreet and deliberate plan to destabilize - not democratize - about seven countries in the Middle-East. It was a political and military decision made by highly influential people behind the scenes and it is they and the politicians who facilitated their ruinous policy who should be held to account for their treachery.

The present humanitarian refugee and migrant crisis is an inevitable consequence of this policy and action of illegal aggression - a consequence of which its perpetrators were well aware would ensue, as part of their machinations to erase the national boundaries of Europe and, with them, the traditional essentially Christian cultures of the Continent, the United Kingdom - and, by extension, elsewhere.

We of the West and the unfortunate victims in the nations subject to "Western" aggression are mere pawns in an international chess game where nothing less than World Dominion is the ultimate objective.

Sincerely Wallace Klinck

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queens Street, Melbourne, 3000

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9600 0677 Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

WHY IS THE U.S. SO DEEPLY LOST ON THE DARK SIDE IN SYRIA?

A recent news report reminded me that one had to be careful not to accept what one reads or hears in the news these days. How much is truthful and how much is lies and propaganda is hard to determine. A good example is the news coming out about Syria.

The 'moonofalabama' website gave us a good example 20 November, 2015:

"PBS NewsHour Uses Russian Airstrike Footage While Claiming U.S. Airstrike Successes"

"U.S. media can not agree with itself if Russia is giving ISIS an airforce or if Russia pounds ISIS with

the biggest bomber raid in decades. Such confusion

occurs when propaganda fantasies collide with the

observable reality.

To bridge such divide requires some fudging: So when the U.S. claims to act against the finances of the Islamic State while not doing much, the U.S Public Broadcasting Service has to use footage of Russian airstrikes against the Islamic State while reporting claimed U.S. airstrike successes.

The U.S. military recently claimed to have hit Islamic State oil tankers in Syria. This only after Putin embarrassed Obama at the G-20 meeting in Turkey. Putin showed satellite pictures of ridiculous long tanker lines waiting for days and weeks to load oil from the Islamic State without any U.S. interference.

The U.S. then claimed to have hit 116 oil tankers while the Russian air force claims to have hit 500. But there is an important difference between these claims. The Russians provided videos showing how their airstrikes hit at least two different very large oil tanker assemblies with hundreds of tankers in each. They also provided video of several hits on oil storage sites and refinery infrastructure.

I have found no video of U.S. hits on Islamic State oil tanker assemblies. The U.S. PBS NewsHour did not find any either.

In their TV report yesterday about Islamic State financing and the claimed U.S. hits on oil trucks they used the videos Russia provided without revealing the source. You can see the Russian videos played within an interview with a U.S. military spokesperson at 2:22 min.

The U.S. military spokesperson speaks on camera about U.S. airforce hits against the Islamic State. The video cuts to footage taken by Russian airplanes hitting oil tanks and then trucks. The voice-over while showing the Russian video with the Russians blowing up trucks says: "For the first time the U.S. is attacking oil delivery trucks." The video then cuts back to the U.S. military spokesperson.

At no point is the Russian campaign mentioned or the source of the footage revealed.

Any average viewer of the PBS report will assume that the black and white explosions of oil trucks and tanks are from of U.S. airstrikes filmed by U.S. air force planes.

The U.S. military itself admitted that its strikes on IS oil infrastructure over the last year were "minimally effective". One wonders then how effective the claimed strike against 116 trucks really was. But unless we have U.S. video of such strikes and not copies of Russian strike video fraudulently passed off as U.S. strikes we will not know if those strikes happened at all.

Ref: http://www.moonofalabama.org/2015/11/pbs-uses-russian-airstrike-videos-to-claim-us-airstrike-successes.html

SAME SEX MARRIAGE: WHEN DID DISSENT BECOME DISCRIMINATION?

Chris Berg *The Age* 23rd November, 2015 The politics of gay marriage have shifted radically in a very short space of time.

Until 2011, the Labor Party was firmly opposed to gay marriage. The Coalition was firmly opposed just four months ago.

So it's remarkable that a Catholic archbishop in Tasmania is being dragged to a government anti-discrimination authority for opposing same-sex marriage - the position that was until very recently, shared by both parties.

Last September, Martine Delaney, the Greens candidate for the federal seat of Franklin, took a complaint to the Tasmanian Anti-Discrimination Commissioner that the Catholic Church had produced and distributed a booklet which "does immeasurable harm to the wellbeing of same-sex couples and their families across Tasmania". ...

The booklet in question, *Don't Mess With Marriage*, offers the basic Christian case against gay marriage: families are the founding blocks of society and children need a mother and father.It's hard to overstate how moderate this booklet is.

It offers no fire or brimstone. It's gentle and Christian, of the suburban pastoral variety. There's much expression of sympathy for same-sex attracted people who also want to follow religious teachings that preclude their sexuality.

It is a calm explanation of a major position on a prominent political policy issue. To be offended by the booklet is to be offended by what was, until very recently, the mainstream view on gay marriage, and one still shared by a large minority of the population.

Read further: http://ipa.org.au/news/3387/same-sex-marriage-when-did-dissent-become-discrimination-

JUST A SPOON FULL OF GINGER MAKES THE CANCER GO DOWN by Brian Simpson

Those of us fearful about getting cancer, especially as we tumble into our senior years, should have glad hearts at the news contained in a scientific paper with the most challenging title: A.Ray (et al.), "6-Shogaol Inhibits Breast Cancer Cells and Stem Cell-like Spheroids by Modulation of Notch Signalling Pathway and Induction of Autophagic Cell Death", PLOS One, September 10, 2015.

6-Shogaol is derived from common ginger. In laboratory tests it was found that 6-Shogaol effectively killed both breast cancer mono-layer cells and stem cell-like spheroids, which is difficult to do with conventional cancer drugs. Further, the ginger-derived chemical was not toxic to normal cells, which is a major advantage. Many Internet sources say that 6-Shogaol is 10,000 times more efficient than chemotherapy drugs at attacking cancer stem cells.

The paper, as I read it does not cite this figure but

does say that few chemotherapeutic compounds attack cancer stem cells at all and cancer stem cells are resistant to standard drugs such as paclitaxel, doxorubicin, 5-fluorouracil, and platinum drugs. (p.17 of 22)

The good news about nutrition as a weapon against cancer doesn't end there. Another paper not discussed much in the Internet press is: D.Wang (et al.), "Phenethyl Isothiocyanate Upregulates Death Receptors 4 and 5 and Inhibits Proliferation in Human Cancer Stem-like Cells", BiomedCentralCancer, vol 14, 2014: 591. Phenethyl isothiocyanate (PEITC) is found in vegetables such as broccoli, cabbage and cauliflower. The experiments described in the paper indicates that PEITC can also attack cancer stem cells, with no toxic effects.

So, eat up your broccoli, laced with ginger (cooking ginger activates 6-Shogaol) and wash it all down with a nice cup of green tea - also anti-cancer. ***

REMEMBERING RHODESIA - 50 YEARS ON

Not only did the 11 November 2015 mark Remembrance Day for Australians, it also marked the 50th year since the Rhodesian Prime Minister Ian Smith and his government declared their independence of the British government.

In *The New Times* July 1997 Eric D. Butler recollected Ian Smith and the fall of that brave little nation. He wrote: "The recently published memoirs of Ian Smith, "The Great Betrayal", confirm the fears of those who believed that although he was basically an honest man, the Rhodesian Prime Minister, who electrified the world on November 11, 1965, when he and his Rhodesian government declared that they were completely independent of the British government, lacked an understanding of the real nature of the international forces which eventually defeated him.

This is demonstrated by his acceptance of Henry Kissinger as a man who, while allegedly admiring the Rhodesian stand, sadly had to advise them that with the Western world becoming "soft" on the Communist question, they could expect no support from the West.

The end result of the Rhodesian surrender was the installation of Communist Mugabe in power in what became known as Zimbabwe..."

Eric continued: "In a personal discussion with me, Australian-born Rhodesian Foreign Affairs Minister, Air Vice Marshal Harold Hawkins provided a much more realistic assessment of Kissinger, referring to the "meat axe diplomacy" which Kissinger had used to force South Africa to desert Rhodesia; South Africa eventually paying the same price as Rhodesia with the installation of former Communist terrorist Nelson Mandela as Prime Minister.

There is no evidence that Mandela has ever repudiated the philosophy, which made him the idol of the international Communist conspiracy. Mythology has promoted the lie that Mandela was imprisoned by the South Africans because of his opposition to apartheid..."

Read further here... http://www.alor.org/New %20Times/pdf/NT6107.pdf ***

THE UN WANTS MORE, MORE, MORE, ABORTIONS FOR POLAND by Mrs Vera West

Wally Klinck rightly notes that "Recent studies have... thoroughly debunked these strident allegations about irreversible contemporary warming of the Earth". Increasing carbon dioxide levels are nothing to worry about, and the climate scientists are needlessly worrying about levels now reaching 400 ppm. In any case the Earth's climate probably hasn't changed much, and certainly not during the last 200 years of industrialisation.

Behind climate change, "peak oil" and all the rest of the ideologies limiting capitalism and business is a profoundly anti-Christian message. It is not surprising that the climate change ideology radiates from the

United Nations, an evil and conspiratorial entity. For

example, the UN now wants Poland, a staunchly Catholic country to give children wider access to abortion, making "the conditions for abortion less restrictive" and to "establish clear standards for a uniform and non-restrictive interpretation of the conditions for legal abortions and relevant procedures".

Why would any "decent" organisation want to undermine the culture of such a country? Could it be for the same reason that climate change, the greatest myth of this time - is being promoted? UN - your agenda is showing.

A MESSAGE FROM THE NATIONAL DIRECTOR - Ken Grundy

As you will have noticed in the Journals, Lou Cook stood down as National Director after having made a major contribution to the cause for the last four years in that role. He had to manage the relocation of the Melbourne office and establish new leasing etc. Printing of journals is done "in-house" and again Lou sought the best deal regarding a printing machine. Supporters owe Lou much gratitude.

I have been handed Lou's job and hope to be able to provide direction, with the help of other key members, for the next two year term. I will also appreciate your support which may at times be in the form of constructive criticism.

The need for the League has not lessened over the years. The situation has worsened and the only consolation is that it probably would be even worse, had it not been for the effort of our supporters.

The League cannot fix any problem – it can only provide information and guidance to interested people who must then, apply that information into a form of action. Our opponent's greatest fear is that we will be involved in constructive action.

We recall the famous quote from Edmund Burke, "All that is necessary for the triumph of evil, is that good men do nothing."

We live busy lives, despite the fact that mechanisation has liberated us from much of the workload, however I am going to ask you to commit to allocating a small portion of your time to action. It requires some discipline and perhaps some learning which the League can provide.

You might surprise yourself at what can be done. It can offer a level of satisfaction.

I recall a League supporter who once said that she well understood the gravity of a certain situation, but rather than just complain and feel negative, she felt positive once she had written a letter offering guidance to her MP. She could relax, knowing that at least she had 'done her bit'.

Terrorism across the globe; Trade agreements; Traditional marriage; Debt; Parliamentary volatility (four Treasurers and four PM's in four years, is the score, I think); Immigration and loss of Sovereignty are just some of the topics of concern at the moment.

Watch the *OnTarget* for topics and suggested Action Targets which concern you and let your MP know your concerns.

Of course, always remain polite and courteous if you are to gain respect from the MP.

Keep in the back of your mind that your MP's job is to represent his voters. If he is not informed about the voters' wishes, we can hardly blame him. Rather it is the voter's fault!

The Basic Fund

The operation of the League requires financial support - we do not have corporate support (and would never accept it). We rely on contributions to the basic fund which is advertised in the journals. Last year we did not reach the desired level but we are not deterred and this year we again aim to reach \$60 000.

Costs like office rent, power, insurance, postage and printing are substantial. As well we have dedicated people doing wonderful work producing journals, tapes and DVD's for distribution. Some of these need supplementary support. Contributions are spent carefully.

The Fund for 2015/2016 had not been officially launched at the recent National Weekend but some generous donations on that occasion have given the fund a good start.

Please consider helping the fund along so the excellent work established by Eric Butler and those who founded our great organisation can continue the task before us.

Ken Grundy National Director November 2015

WHAT HAPPENED TO OUR FREEDOMS AND RIGHTS by Ken Grundy

The freedoms enjoyed in most western Christian nations were at one time, more complete or better defined.

With the advent of Human Rights emanating from bodies like the United Nations which filter down to nations, we have seen a retreat from the freedoms once taken for granted. For example, we once had freedom of expression. This freedom had suitable limitations. A person could face a court if false accusations were made about another person. Now, however, we are unable to say or write many things, purely on the basis that it may offend someone.

Do you recall Section 18C which was due for removal but Mr Abbott decided it would remain because removal may upset certain people?

Interpretation by the community of what is acceptable has inverted the concept to the stage where certain views can be expressed by some parties but not others. Take for example the accusation of racism or sexism; it is fine for a particular comment to be made about these issues but is seen as offensive for the comments to be rebutted. The only views to prevail are those of the left. (continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

Our freedoms or the lop-sided interpretation of them, are being taken advantage of by the left wing in the community. Many new migrants and their overzealous friends are enjoying a free ride. Unless the rules are respected and upheld across the whole community, the result will be tension.

It is like the roadside vegetable stall operating on an honesty payment basis. It works well while it is respected but as soon as the system is exploited; closure is imminent.

So-called political correctness has favoured the 'new breed' at the expense of the conservatives and to accommodate the trend, society is suffering a one-sided set of rules. Some have more rights than others!

The current wave of terrorism has shown most western leaders making statements which are designed to not offend the perpetrators of terror. Mr Putin and Mr Nigel Farage are stand out leaders with messages, the style of which, would have existed during World War 2 and before.

Opponents were clearly nominated and corrective measures were declared without fear. Immigration, whether by design or from refugee situations has delivered considerable abuse of our welfare system and a general reduction of our freedoms; both of which have been encouraged by the do-gooder element in our society.

We do not require more people in Australia while so much unemployment prevails. We do not want more people entering Australia to enjoy our welfare. We do not want to import anyone who is unlikely to assimilate to our way of life and standards. We do not want to risk importing anyone who may harbour terrorist activities.

Action Target:

Write to your MP and Senators, passing on your valued opinion and thus guiding him/her in representing the electorate.

Tell them to halt all immigration of every kind until the terrorism threat has gone and when our unemployment is solved. ***

Action Target: THE LOSS OF AUSTRALIAN SOVEREIGNTY: TPP and your Senator

Dear Supporter,

Following on from the National Director's 'target for the week' in the last issue of On Target, please find below some suggestions to help you contact your State Senators. Please give this your best shot!

Lou

Write to your State Senators and ask for a reply in a stated time-frame.

Your reasons for writing to your Senators could be any, or all, of the following:

- * Secret negotiations: Australians do not know what to expect as the outcome of the TPP.
- * Loss of sovereignty: foreign governments, and corporations will dictate economic rules of engagement at all levels of Australian society.
- * Copyright laws will be subject to foreign interpretation which could impact on freedom of speech and association of individuals.
- * Australian Primary Industry will be subject to open competition from ruthless foreign corporations and governments. It will not be a level playing field or two-way street with quality and integrity sacrificed to the god of 'foreign trade', but rather a race to the bottom.

You might ask: "Is the TPP a positive move to abolish the sovereignty of the Australian Government?" The Australian Government has many other more pressing issues closer to home.

Express your dissatisfaction, requesting the Senator to oppose any support for the Trans Pacific Partnership by the Australian Government.

Please vary as you think fit.

(date)

Senator (surname) Parliament House Canberra ACT 2600

SAMPLE LETTER

Dear Senator (surname),

The Trans Pacific Partnership is still to be approved by the Senate and from information available to the voting public, it is apparent that approval by the Senate is not in the best interests of the Australian people.

Secret negotiations giving foreign trading nations the ability to dominate Australian business should be rejected.

Australian Primary Industry will be subject to open competition from ruthless foreign corporations and governments. It will not be a level playing field or two-way street with quality and integrity sacrificed to the god of 'foreign trade'.

Please vote against the Trans Pacific Partnership Legislation and keep me informed of its progress through the Parliament.

Yours Faithfully,

(Your name and address)

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

TO THE AUSTRALIAN

Janet Albrechtsen states that 'it's never been more pressing for us to coalesce around a core set of beliefs' ('Our fight for liberty must include freedom of speech', 25/11) and nominates the 'freedom to disagree' as the key element. My suggestion is that honouring the truth and thus actively engaging in the search for truth are even more important.

Restrictions on individual freedom are not only imposed by sentimentalists of 'the feelings movement' but also by powerful interest groups, partly operating behind the scenes, who have succeeded in branding certain necessary discourses as not merely 'politically incorrect' but also 'obscene' and 'hateful'. These groups fear certain truths being widely revealed. The true defence of freedom requires them to be named openly and firmly opposed. Albrechtsen does not appear willing to do this. *Nigel Jackson, Belgrave, Vic*

Dear Editor

The Labor Opposition plan to hike the price of cigarettes in a bid to deter smoking may have a costly side effect. Australia's commitment to Trade Agreements with the US and other nations, contain a clause enabling foreign corporations the right to be compensated for loss of profit due to any changed legislation.

One can imagine the large tobacco companies with their hand out and we will be unable to avoid paying compensation.

So much for Free Trade Agreements! *Ken Grundy, Naracoorte, SA*

TO THE AUSTRALIAN

My hopes for Malcolm Turnbull's future policy for indigenous affairs are different from those of Marcia Langton ('Can PM right the wrongs?', 21-22/11). She argues on behalf of a tiny ethnic group of Australians, but I argue on behalf of all Australians. 2015 is not 1770 or 1788. When we talk of our 'indigenous' or 'first' peoples today, meaning Aboriginals and Torres Strait Islanders, we are not talking about their ancestors who lived in this continent over 200 years ago and who lost ownership of it to incomers with superior strength. Nor are we talking about a clearly discrete people, for there has been too much intermarriage for that to be valid.

Our thinking about indigenous affairs must be Australian in scope and predicated on deciding what is best for all Australians living today and for our descendants in this land. It ought to be 100% clear that mistaken policies, sentimental but foolish, involving unjust favouritism towards one small segment of the population are not on. Nor are separatist policies which tend towards a more and more divided nation. I hope our Prime Minister acts in accord with these realities, ethically and wisely.

Nigel Jackson, Belgrave, Vic

MASSIVE GISS TAMPERING UNCOVERED

by P. Gosselin

Günter Ederer reports: recently retired geologist and data computation expert Professor Dr. Friedrich Karl Ewert began looking at the data behind the global warming claims, and especially the datasets of NASA's Goddard Institute of Space Studies (GISS).

Ewert painstakingly examined and tabulated the reams of archived data from 1153 stations that go back to 1881 which NASA has publicly available – data that the UN IPCC uses on which to base its conclusion that man is heating the Earth's atmosphere through the burning of fossil fuels. According to Ederer, what Professor Ewert found was "unbelievable":

From the publicly available data, Ewert made an unbelievable discovery: Between the years 2010 and 2012 the data measured since 1881 were altered so that they showed a significant warming, especially after 1950. [...] A comparison of the data from 2010 with the data of 2012 shows that NASA-GISS had altered its own datasets so that especially after WWII a clear warming appears – although it never existed."

Source: http://notrickszone.com/2015/11/20/german-professor-examines-nasa-giss-temperature-datasets-finds-they-have-been-massively-altered/

THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: — http://alor.org/

When ordering journals, 'On Target' and 'New Times Survey' – Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to – 'ALOR Journals'

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to -- Heritage Bookshop Services'

For donations to the League please make payments to-'Australian League of Rights' or 'ALOR'

Books are available at meetings, at our Melbourne bookshop or by mail order from the following addresses:

Victoria, Tasmania: Heritage Bookshop, Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queens Street, Melbourne, 3000 (G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001). Phone: (03) 9600 0677

> South Australia Heritage Book Mailing Service, P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159. Phone: (08) 71237131;

All Other States:

To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

VERITASBOOKS ONLINE: http://veritasbooks.com.au/